

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CN

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/778,371	02/07/2001	Cornelia Sprengard-Eichel	8369Q 6846		
27752	7590 12/23/2003		EXAMINER		
	CTER & GAMBLE CO	KIDWELL, MICHELE M			
	TUAL PROPERTY DIV IILL TECHNICAL CEN	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			3761	. ` `	
CINCINNATI, OH 45224			DATE MAILED: 12/23/2003	. //8	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

. •					<i>\.</i> \.l			
		Application No). A	pplicant(s)	00			
Office Action Summary		09/778,371	SI	SPRENGARD-EICHEL ET AL.				
		Examiner	A	rt Unit				
		Michele Kidwe		761				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communion Reply	cation appears on the cov	er sheet with the corr	espondence address	\$			
THE - External control	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC INSIDERS OF THIS COMMUNIC INSIDERS OF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply virely received by the Office later than three months af ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how unication. of days, a reply within the statutory m tutory period will apply and will expir will, by statute, cause the application	wever, may a reply be timely thinimum of thirty (30) days will e SIX (6) MONTHS from the to become ABANDONED (3	filed Il be considered timely. mailing date of this commun 35 U.S.C. § 133).	iication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>16 October 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2l	o) This action is non-fin	al.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)🖂	Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,9,10 and 12-18</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) <u>6,19-20</u> is/are objected to.							
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requir	ement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		-					
	Applicant may not request that any object							
44	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	•	**					
•	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note th	e attached Office Ad	xion or form P1O-18	32 .			
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12)								
Attachmer		_	_					
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	TO-948) 5)	Interview Summary (PT Notice of Informal Pater Other:					
S Patent and	Trademark Office							

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3 – 4, 7 and 17 – 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pyrozyk et al. (US 5,431,622).

With respect to claim 1, Pyrozyk et al. (hereinafter "Pyrozyk) discloses an absorbent article comprising a backsheet (54), a liquid pervious topsheet (52) joined to the backsheet (figure 1), an absorbent core (46) disposed intermediate the topsheet and the backsheet (col. 3, lines 8 – 26) and a thermal cell actuator (144) which adds or removes heat from at least a portion of the absorbent article upon actuation so as to result in a useful function of maintaining the article at a predefined temperature as set forth in col. 5, lines 4 – 10.

With reference to claim 3, Pyrozyk discloses an absorbent article comprising a backsheet (54), a liquid pervious topsheet (52) joined to the backsheet (figure 1), an absorbent core (46) disposed intermediate the topsheet and the backsheet (col. 3, lines 8-26) and an electrically powered thermal cell actuator (144) which adds or removes heat from at least a portion of the absorbent article upon actuation so as to result in a useful function of maintaining the article at a predefined temperature as set forth in col. 5, lines 4-10 and in figure 4.

Art Unit: 3761

As to claim 4, Pyrozyk discloses an absorbent article wherein the thermal cell actuator function is performed at a location between the backsheet of the article and the skin of the wearer in response to a change in temperature as set forth in col. 1, lines 45-52.

With reference to claim 7, Pyrozyk discloses an absorbent article wherein the thermal cell actuator controls temperature in the article as set forth in col. 5, lines 5 – 10.

With reference to claim 17, Pyrozyk discloses an article wherein the thermal cell actuator changes a mechanical property of a component of the article other than the thermal cell actuator as set forth in col. 5, lines 5 – 10.

Since the applicant discloses that the thermostat is used to add heat to the absorbent article, the examiner contends that this addition of heat to at least the moisture barrier (disclosed as a plastic sheet in col. 3, lines 19 – 21) would cause the material to become more pliable thereby changing a mechanical property of this barrier layer.

As to claim 18, Pyrozyk discloses the component as a cuff opening in figure 1.

The examiner contends that the edge of the barrier layer that leads to the top of the article may be considered a cuff opening.

Claims 1, 4, 7, 9 – 10 and 13 – 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Glaug et al. (US 5,797,892).

Art Unit: 3761

With respect to claim 1, Glaug et al. (hereinafter "Glaug") discloses an absorbent article comprising a backsheet (58), a liquid pervious topsheet (60) joined to the backsheet (col. 5, lines 34 – 38), an absorbent core (82) disposed intermediate the topsheet and the backsheet (figure 6) and a thermal cell actuator (54) which adds or removes heat from at least a portion of the absorbent article upon actuation so as to result in a useful function selected from the listed group as set forth in col. 8, line 51 to col. 9, line 16. The temperature change member (54) of Glaug will remove heat from at least a portion of the absorbent article upon actuation so as to result in maintaining the article at a predefined temperature (i.e., a change from about 2.8° – 13.8° C) as set forth in col. 9, lines 3 – 5.

Regarding claim 4, Glaug discloses an absorbent article wherein the thermal cell actuator performs a function between the backsheet of the article and the skin of the wearer in response to a change in relative humidity, moisture or temperature as set forth in col. 8, lines 51 – 57.

As to claim 7, Glaug discloses an absorbent article wherein the thermal cell actuator controls humidity or temperature in the article as set forth in col. 8, lines 51 – 64.

With respect to claims 9 and 10, see col. 9, lines 45 – 52.

As to claim 13, Glaug discloses an absorbent article wherein the thermal cell actuator is not in contact with the wearer's skin when the article is worn as set forth in figure 6.

Art Unit: 3761

Regarding claim 14, Glaug discloses an article wherein the thermal cell actuator is in vapor communication with the wearer's skin such that vapor can condensate inside the article as set forth in col. 16, lines 42 – 48.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12 – 13 and 15 – 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pyrozyk (US 5,431,622).

The difference between Pyrozyk and claim 12 is the provision that the thermal cell actuator provides a temperature in the range of 15° and 25° C.

Pyrozyk discloses an absorbent article including a thermal cell actuator the maintains the article at a predefined temperature as set forth in col. 5, lines 5 – 10.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the temperature at which the article is maintained since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable range requires only a level of ordinary skill in the art.

As to claim 13, Pyrozyk discloses a thermal cell actuator that is not in contact with the wearer's skin when the article is worn as set forth in figure 4.

Art Unit: 3761

As to claim 15, Pyrozyk discloses a thermal cell actuator that is triggered by a user during application of the article as set forth in col. 5, lines 5 – 10. The examiner contends that any time used to prepare the article for use (i.e. applying the bandage to the skin, setting the thermostat, etc.) may be considered as the application of the article.

The difference between Pyrozyk and claim 16 is the provision that the temperature is constant for at least 1 hour.

Pyrozyk discloses the use of thermal cell actuator to maintain the water at a certain temperature.

The examiner contends that the device of Pyrozyk is fully capable of maintaining a constant temperature for at least 1 hour since the device is electrically powered and would be sustained by an electric connection that is capable of lasting at least one hour if desired.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 2 is allowed.

Claims 6, 11 and 19 - 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed October 20, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 3761

With respect to the applicant's arguments that Pyrozyk does not disclose the topsheet (52) being joined to the backsheet (54), the examiner disagrees and refers to col. 1, lines 45 – 52 and col. 3, lines 19 – 21 which disclose the wound contacting surface, or topsheet, connected to the fluid absorbent medium which is in turn connected to the moisture barrier, thereby providing the topsheet being joined to the backsheet by way of the fluid absorbent medium.

Regarding the applicant's argument that Pyrozyk does not disclose maintaining the article at a predefined temperature, the examiner disagrees. By applicant's own admission on page 8 of the reply dated October 16, 2003, the applicant states that "Pyrozyk only speaks of keeping a reservoir of water at a pre-defined temperature." The examiner agrees and contends that this meets the claimed limitations of maintaining the article at a pre-defined temperature. If the reservoir of water within the article is kept at a pre-defined temperature, even if only for a second, the article is considered to be maintained at that temperature.

The applicant argues that Pyrozyk provides no teaching or suggestion of increased pliability of the moisture barrier. Since the applicant discloses that the thermostat is used to add heat to the absorbent article, the examiner contends that this addition of heat to at least the moisture barrier (disclosed as a plastic sheet in col. 3, lines 19 – 21) would cause the material to become more pliable thereby changing a mechanical property of this barrier layer.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies

Art Unit: 3761

(i.e., a cuff for fecal isolation) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

With respect to the applicant's arguments that Glaug fails to teach a predetermined temperature, the examiner disagrees. Glaug discloses that the training aid provides a surface temperature change of from about 5 to about 25°F (col. 9, lines 3 – 5). While the applicant considers this a range of temperature changes, the examiner contends that this range is still a temperature that has been predefined by Glaug. If, for example, the temperature changes 5°, 6° or 14°, this is still considered a predetermined temperature because Glaug has already determined that the temperature will fall within this range.

Regarding the applicant's argument that Glaug fails to teach or suggest an actuator being in vapor communication with the wearer's skin, the examiner contends that since the thermal cell actuator results in a temperature change that is noticed by the wearer, then the actuator is considered to be in, at least, vapor communication with the wearer's skin and is fully capable of allowing vapor to condensate inside the article.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michele Kidwell whose telephone number is 703-305-2941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30am - 4:00pm.

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on 703-308-1957. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3590 for regular communications and 703-305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Michele Kidwell
Michele Kidwell

December 18, 2003

WEILUN LO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700